ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)

DATE

February 1999

BUDGET ACTIVITY

7 - Operational System Development

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

							-			
COST (In Thousands)	FY1998 Actual	FY 1999 Estimate	FY 2000 Estimate	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY2004 Estimate	FY2005 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element (PE) Cost	151520	104000	29544	23938	19299	20036	97346	116137	Continuing	Continuing
D2TT Bradley A3 IOTE	5110	2904	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9974
D330 Abrams Tank Improvement Program	37048	9359	12056	19270	18799	20036	83907	96566	Continuing	Continuing
D344 Fire Support Team Vehicle Integration	7093	10901	11368	2168	0	0	0	0	0	84938
D365 Bradley Linebacker	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
D371 Bradley Base Sustainment Program	71604	67476	3221	0	0	0	9508	9761	Continuing	Continuing
D718 Ground Combat Vehicle HTI	16945	8952	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25897
D728 Heavy Assault Bridge Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0	3931	9810	28000	41741
DC64 DC64	13691	4408	2899	2500	500	0	0	0	0	C

A. <u>Mission Description and Budget Item Justification</u>: This Program Element (PE) responds to vehicle deficiencies identified during Desert Storm, continues technical system upgrades, and addresses needed evolutionary enhancements to tracked combat (Abrams and Bradley) and tactical (Bradley FIST) vehicles. This PE provides combat effectiveness enhancements for the Abrams Tank through a series of product improvements to the current M1A2 production vehicles. Additional improvements allow the M1A2 SEP tank to operate effectively with the M2A3 Bradley. This PE also addresses future product improvements to the M2A3.

Page 1 of 17 Pages

Exhibit R-2 (PE 0203735A)

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)

DATE

February 1999

BUDGET ACTIVITY

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

7 - Operational System Development

0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

B. Program Change Summary	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
Previous President's Budget (FY 1999 PB)	161497	94756	28439	4983
Appropriated Value	167020	104756		
Adjustments to Appropriated Value				
a. Congressional General Reductions	-5523	-756		
b. SBIR/STTR	-4050			
c. Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reductions	-4000			
d. Below Threshold Reprogramming	-1927			
e. Rescissions				
Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY 1999 PB			+1105	+18955
Current Budget Submit (FY 2000/ 2001 PB)	151520	104000	29544	23938

Change Summary Explanation: Funding added in FY 2000 and FY 2001 for Abrams Live Fire and Survivability Test.

Page 2 of 17 Pages

Exhibit R-2 (PE 0203735A)

DATE **ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)** February 1999 **BUDGET ACTIVITY** PE NUMBER AND TITLE **PROJECT** 0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs 7 - Operational System Development D2TT FY1998 FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY2004 FY2005 **Total Cost** Cost to COST (In Thousands) Actual Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate **Estimate** Estimate Complete D2TT Bradley A3 IOTE 5110 2904 0 9974

A. <u>Mission Description and Justification</u>: This project provides for the initial operational test and evaluation (IOTE) of Bradley A3 pre-production vehicles in order to generate a system performance profile in support of a Milestone III decision. Critical areas for test include lethality, survivability, mobility, and sustainability.

FY 1998 Accomplishments:

• 5110 Provided Testing Support [Limited User Testing (LUT I & II)]

Total 5110

FY 1999 Planned Program:

• 2827 Will Provide Testing Support [Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE)]

• Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/STTR) Programs

Total 2904

FY 2000 Planned Program: Project not funded in FY 2000

FY 2001 Planned Program: Project not funded in FY 2001

B. Other Program Funding Summary	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	То	Total
									Compl	<u>Cost</u>
Bradley Base Sustainment (G80717)	112723	269490	333233	399997	417690	364917	406986	406895	Cont	Cont

C. Acquisition Strategy: All funding in this project will be executed for Operational Tests by OEC.

D. Schedule Profile	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	FY 2005
LUT 1		3Q*								
LUT 2/OE		4Q*								
IOTE			3Q							

Project D2TT Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

		DATE February 1999
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational System Development	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 02037354 Combat Vehice	cle Improvement Programs
*Milestone Completed	0203733A Combat Venic	Cie improvement Frograms
•		

ARMY RDT&E BUDG	GET ITE	M JUS	ΓIFICA [*]	ΓΙΟΝ (R-	2A Exhi	bit)		DATE Fe l	bruary 19	999
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational System Development						ehicle In	nprovem	ent Progr	-	PROJECT D330
COST (In Thousands) FY1998 FY 1999 Actual Estimate			FY 2000 Estimate	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY2004 Estimate	FY2005 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
D330 Abrams Tank Improvement Program 37048 9359				19270	18799	20036	83907	96566	Continuing	Continuing

A. <u>Mission Description and Justification:</u> This project funds improvements to the Abrams Main Battle Tank (M1 series). The Abrams mission is to close with and destroy enemy forces on the integrated battlefield using firepower, maneuver, and shock effect. The current production model, the M1A2, is the Army's first fully digital ground combat system. The M1A2 System Enhancement Program (SEP) is the name given to the latest group or "block" of improvements funded under this project. SEP is an upgrade to the computer core that is the essence of the M1A2. It provides better microprocessors, color flat panel displays, more memory capacity, better Soldier-Machine Interface (SMI), and a new open operating system. An Under Armor Auxiliary Power Unit (UAAPU) is being developed for production in order to mitigate power demands on the batteries so that all systems may operate without turning on the main engine. A new thermal management system will dissipate the heat generated by the electronic components. The M1A2's formidable target acquisition capabilities will also be significantly enhanced with the development for production of the 2nd Generation Forward Looking Infra-Red (2nd Gen FLIR) technology. Both the Gunner's Primary Sight (GPS) and the Commander's Independent Thermal Viewer (CITV) will be modified to integrate the improved thermal imaging capabilities of the new FLIR technology.

The first M1A2 SEP tank is scheduled for production at the end of FY 1999. The M1A2 SEP tank will be capable of running the Army's Common Operating Environment (ACOE) software for digital communication with the rest of the combined arms team. ACOE software integration is funded in PE 0203758A. Its computer systems will also accommodate future growth without significant hardware changes. An M1A2 Live Fire Testing Program is planned for fiscal years 2000-2003. Post SEP efforts will focus on improvements yielding significant life cycle cost reductions or survivability enhancements.

A program to digitize the M1A1 tank began in FY 1997 and continues through FY 1999. All of the development effort for this is being funded by PE 0203758A.

FY 1998 Accomplishments:

- 25480 Completed fabrication and assembly of demonstration hardware, continued logistics, quality and other engineering efforts
- 8322 Continued contractor component testing and began joint government / contractor system testing
- 3246 Provided Government Support/GFE

Total 37048

FY 1999 Planned Program:

- 3211 Complete engineering and testing of hardware/software on tank
- 2900 Provide Government Support/GFE
- 3000 Conduct Direct Support Electrical System Test Set (DSESTS) engineering efforts
- 248 Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/STTR) Programs

Total 9359

Project D330 Page 4 of 17 Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)

DATE

February 1999

BUDGET ACTIVITY

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

PROJECT

7 - Operational System Development

0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

D330

FY 2000 Planned Program:

4933 Integration of Battlefield Combat Identification System (BCIS) into the M1A2 SEP tank

• 7123 Begin DoD directed M1A2 Abrams Live Fire and Survivability Test

Total 12056

FY 2001 Planned Program:

• 19270 Continue DoD directed M1A2 Abrams Live Fire and Survivability Test

Total 19270

B. Other Program Funding Summary	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	То	Total
									<u>Compl</u>	<u>Cost</u>
Abrams Upgrade Program (GA0750)	571820	683038	636402	486930	551392	454092	332538	147956	517821	
Abrams Vehicle Modification (GA0700)	18630	36207	29815	88600	125308	135389	315710	337674	Cont	
M1A2 Training Devices (GB1302)	13076	13376	8086	10606	11878	12195	13044	5880	Cont	
Training Device Mod (GA5208)	2176	8514	2640	5383	5575	5565	5885	3408	Cont	
Initial Spares (GE0161)	13351	9774	9756	14951	23682	25515	25697	26463	Cont	
PE 0203758A (D374)	4827	14200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

C. <u>Acquisition Strategy:</u> General Dynamics Land Systems Division (GDLS) is the prime contractor for this development program. Texas Instruments, Inc. is the principal contractor developing the FLIR sights, which the Government will provide to General Dynamics. The cost plus fixed fee contract with General Dynamics was awarded on 14 September 1994.

D. Schedule Profile	FY 1997	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	FY 2005
PDR – Software	4Q*									
CDR – Software		3Q*								
Preliminary Manufacturing TDP Complete		3Q*								
Begin Government/Contractor Testing	4Q*									
Complete Government/Contractor Testing			3Q							
Contract Completion			3Q							
Begin Live Fire Test Planning			1Q							
Complete Live Fire Testing							4Q			

^{*} Milestone Completed

Project D330 Page 5 of 17 Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

	Al	RMY RDT&E CO	OST AN	IALYS	IS (R-3))			DA		uary 19	 99
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational Systems				PE N	UMBER ANI	O TITLE	t Vehicle	e Impro	vement	Progran	PR	330
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value of Contract
a. Prior Contracts	, ·		472549								472549	472549
b. SEP/FLIR Phase I	SS-CPFF	General Dynamics	4688								4688	6984
c. SEP/FLIR Phase II	SS-CPFF	General Dynamics Sterling Heights, MI	115702								115702	137900
d. FLIR Integration	C-CPAF	Texas Instruments McKinney, TX	25000								25000	25000
e. BCIS Integration	TBD	,				4033					4033	
Subtotal Product Development:			617939			4033					621972	
Remark: GDLS contracts (Phase							Ţ			T		
II. Support Costs	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Value of
a. Gov't Support / GFE	Type MIPR	TACOM / OGA's	44685	2900	Date	900	Date		Date		48485	Contrac
	MIPR	TACOM / OGA's	44063	3000		900					3000	
b. DSESTS Requirementsc. SBIR / STTR	MIPK	TACOM / OGA s		248							248	
Subtotal Support Costs:			44685	6148		900					51733	
Subtotal Support Costs.			44003	0146		900					31733	
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value of Contract
a. Various Test Sites	MIPR		40178	3211	Dute	7123	Dute	19270	Dute		69782	Contrac
Subtotal Test and Evaluation:	WIII IX		40178	3211		7123		19270			69782	
IV. Management Services: Not	applicable						,					
Project Total Cost:			702802	9359		12056		19270			743487	
Project Total Cost: Project D330				9359 Page 6 of	17 D	12056				3 (PE 020		

DATE **ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)** February 1999 BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE **PROJECT** 7 - Operational System Development 0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs **D344** FY1998 FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY2004 FY2005 **Total Cost** Cost to COST (In Thousands) Actual Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Complete D344 Fire Support Team Vehicle Integration 84938 7093 10901 11368 2168

A. <u>Mission Description and Justification:</u> The Bradley Fire Support (BFIST) vehicle program integrates Mission Equipment Packages (MEP) into a Bradley Fighting Vehicle and supports heavy maneuver force operations. BFIST replaces the aging M981 Fire Support Vehicle allowing for fire support teams in our heavy divisions. BFIST allows fire support operations to be performed on the battlefield in vehicles with the same signature, survivability, and mobility as other Bradley maneuver units. This program supports material development and conversion of selected Bradley A2 Operation Desert Storm (ODS) based upgrades and Bradley A3 vehicles to the BFIST configuration. The A2 ODS based BFIST is designated M7 and the A3 version is A3 BFIST.

FY 1998 Accomplishments:

- 1137 Phase I Design Engineering
- 1324 Phase I Prototype Manufacturing
- 1138 Program Management
- 1620 3 LRIP IOTE/Test Vehicles
- 1874 DSESTS

Total 7093

FY 1999 Planned Program:

- 586 Phase I Test Planning
- 8241 Phase II Design Engineering
- 825 Phase II Pilot Production
- 961 Program Management
- Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/STTR) Programs

Total 10901

FY 2000 Planned Program:

- 6910 Phase II Design Engineering
- 2300 Phase II Pilot Production
- 1158 Program Management
- 1000 Testing

Total 11368

Project D344 Page 7 of 17 Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)

DATE

February 1999

BUDGET ACTIVITY

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

PROJECT

7 - Operational System Development

0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

D344

FY 2001 Planned Program:

• 968 Phase II Design Engineering

• 300 Program Management

• 900 Testing

Total 2168

B. Other Program Funding Summary	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	То	Total
									Compl	Cost
GZ2300 FIST Vehicle (M7/A3 BFIST)	15237	24656	27338	31103	35888	50948	40205	38646	15000	279021

C. Acquisition Strategy: The BFIST program is executed in two-phases: Phase I converts Bradley A2 ODS platforms to the M7 BFIST configuration and Phase II converts Bradley A3 platforms to the A3 BFIST configuration. A Phase I Cost Plus Incentive Fixed Fee (CPIF), Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) contract through full and open competition requires design and fabrication of four (4) BFIST prototypes for pre-production/user testing. Sole Source/Firm Fixed Price (SS/FFP) Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) contract with options followed a successful milestone decision. Follow-on Phase II focuses on the A3 BFIST. Full Rate Production contracts will be awarded for production of the Bradley BFIST.

D. Schedule Profile	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	FY 2005
Phase I										
First A2 ODS BFIST Prototype	4Q*									
Pre-Production Verification Test C/G	4Q*									
Limited User Test #1	4Q*									
LRIP Milestone Decision	4Q*									
LRIP Contract Award		3Q*								
Phase II										
Begin Design Engineering Trade Studies		3Q*								
Contract Award			2Q							
Preliminary/Critical Design Reviews			4Q							
Vehicle Deliveries				4Q						
Vehicle Qualification Test					1Q					

^{*} Milestone Completed

Project D344 Page 8 of 17 Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

	AF	RMY RDT&E CO	OST AN	IALYS	S (R-3))			DA		uary 19	99
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational Syste	em Develo	ppment			UMBER ANI 03735A		t Vehicle	e Impro	vement	Progran		344
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a. BFIST Phase I	C/CPIF	UDLP, San Jose, CA	35794	500	Nov 98		Dute		2400		36294	Contrac
b. BFIST STS	CPFF	UDLP, San Jose, CA	7755								7755	
c. M7 LRIP	SS/FFP	UDLP, San Jose/York	1620								1620	
d. BFIST Phase II	CPAF	UDLP, York, PA		9354	Mar 99	9210	Dec 99	968	Dec 00		19532	
e. DSESTS	CPFF	PEI, Huntsville, AL	1874								1874	
Subtotal Product Development:			47043	9854		9210		968			67075	
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a. PM/Govt	MIPR	PMO, Warren, I/AMCOM, Huntsville, AL	11904	961	Oct 98	1158	Oct 99	300	Oct 00		14323	
Subtotal Support Costs:		,	11904	961		1158		300			14323	
	1		T T							, ,		
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a. ATC/TECOM	MIPR	ATC,WSMR,YPG	1554	86	Nov 98	1000	Nov 99	900	Nov 00		3540	
Subtotal Test and Evaluation:		, , , , , ,	1554	86		1000		900			3540	
IV. Management Services: Not	applicable						Ţ					
Project Total Cost:			60501	10901		11368		2168			84938	
Project D344 Project D344					17 Pages				Exhibit R-	-3 (PE 020:	3735A)	

DATE **ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)** February 1999 BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE **PROJECT** 7 - Operational System Development 0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs **D371** FY1998 FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY2004 FY2005 **Total Cost** Cost to COST (In Thousands) Actual Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Complete D371 Bradley Base Sustainment Program 3221 71604 67476 9508 9761 Continuing Continuing

A. <u>Mission Description and Justification:</u> The Bradley A3 program upgrades a proven, tracked combat vehicle with digital command and control, increased situational awareness, enhanced lethality and survivability, and supportability/sustainability improvements. This project funds engineering and manufacturing development (EMD) of the Bradley A3. The effort develops and fully integrates digital electronics featuring a 1553 databus core electronic architecture and upgraded vehicle system software packages (command and control, navigation, communications, fire control, system/component diagnostics, and embedded training capabilities), 2nd Generation FLIR, and other systems/components into renovated (overhauled) Bradley A2s. Current plans call for conversion of 1109 Bradley A2s to the Bradley A3 configuration.

FY 1998 Accomplishments:

- 64188 Continued Design Engineering Effort
- 1400 Continued Prototype Manufacturing Effort
- 2381 Continued Prototype Qualification Testing and Live Fire Testing
- 3635 Project Management

Total 71604

FY 1999 Planned Program:

- 46735 Continue Design Engineering Effort
- 15888 Complete Live Fire and PQT Testing
- 2289 Project Management
- 2564 Small Business Innovative Research and Small Business Technology Transfer Program

Total 67476

FY 2000 Planned Program:

- 657 Design closeout
- 986 Combat ID
- 978 Digitization
- 600 Project Management

Total 3221

Project D371 Page 10 of 17 Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit) PE NUMBER AND TITLE 7 - Operational System Development PE NUMBER AND TITLE DATE February 1999 PROJECT 0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs D371

FY 2001 Planned Program: Project not funded in FY 2001

B. Other Program Funding Summary	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	То	Total
									<u>Compl</u>	<u>Cost</u>
G80717 Bradley Base Sustainment	112723	269490	333233	399997	417690	364917	406986	406895	Cont	Cont
GE0163 Spares (Initial) BFVS	286	7111	9173	11628	10789	10990	5218	7086	Cont	Cont
G20900 Bradley FVS Training Devices		12695	23441	18715	2603	3195	2501	4430	Cont	Cont
PE 0203758A (Digitization)	2269	4600								6869

C. Acquisition Strategy: Milestone II/IV for the Bradley A3 was held in FY94 and the program was approved for EMD. United Defense was subsequently awarded a Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF) contract for development and integration of advanced A3 systems and components. Ten principal subcontractors, comprising approximately 33% of the contract cost, are participating in the EMD work effort. The first of eight prototypes was completed in 4QFY96; six prototypes are currently undergoing contractor and government production qualification testing. Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) procurements were awarded in FY 1997 and FY 1998. Limited User Testing and Live Fire Testing will be conducted in FY98 and FY 1999, respectively.

D. Schedule Profile	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	FY 2005
PQT-Government	4Q*									
LRIP IPR	4Q*									
LRIP Award (Phased Awards)	4Q*	3Q*	1Q*							
Limited User Test #1		3Q*								
Operational Experiment		4Q*								
LFTE		4Q	1,2,3Q							
LOG DEMO			2Q							
Limited User Test #2			4Q							
IOTE			·	1Q	·	·		·	·	
MS III			·	2Q	·	·		·		

^{*} Milestone Completed

Project D371 Page 11 of 17 Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R-3) PATE February 1													
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational System		UMBER ANI 03735A		t Vehicl	e Impro	mprovement Programs D371							
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. A3 EMD	CPIF	United Defense, San Jose, CA	274284	20716	Feb 99	300	Dec 99				295300		
b. IBAS EMD	SS/CPIF	Texas Instruments, McKinney, TX	65654								65654		
c. IBAS TPS Development	CPFF	Pentastar, Huntsville, AL	1863								1863		
d. Other Contracts		,	34746	28583	Feb 99	2321	Feb 99			19269	84919		
Subtotal Product Dev:			376547	49299		2621				19269	447736		
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. PMO	MIPR	PMO, Warren, MI	7058	1049	Sep 99	400	Sep 00				8507		
b. PM CCAWS	MIPR	PMO, Huntsville, AL	17363	500	Jan 99		5 0 p 00				17863		
c. Other	MIPRs	Various OGAs	4551	740	May 99	200	May 00				5491		
Subtotal Support Costs:			28972	2289	<u></u>	600					31861		
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. PQT, LUT II, LFTE, IOTE		ATC, WSMR, YPG, ARL, DPG, CRTA	6877	15888							22765		
Subtotal Test and Evaluation:			6877	15888							22765		
	ı		412396	67476		3221	ı			19269	502362		

ARMY RDT&E BUD	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)											
									PROJECT D718			
COST (In Thousands)	FY1998 Actual	FY 1999 Estimate	FY 2000 Estimate		FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY2004 Estimate	FY2005 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost		
D718 Ground Combat Vehicle HTI	16945	8952		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	25897		

A. <u>Mission Description and Budget Item Justification</u>: The Suite of Survivability Enhancement Systems (SSES) is an effort to develop, produce and apply Defensive Aids Suites (DAS) to all Army ground combat vehicles. A DAS inhibits successful engagement of the host vehicle by providing advance warning of attack and activating countermeasures, which detect the incoming munitions. Advance warning enables the crew to take defensive action such as maneuvering or returning fire on the enemy. The Laser Warning Receiver (LWR) will provide warning of laser assisted engagement of the host vehicle. Current analysis shows that LWR's will reduce losses of Bradley Vehicles and casualties to Bradley crewmembers by 33%. In addition, LWR greatly improves the ability of the Bradley to detect targets and will allow the LWR equipped Bradley to kill attacking enemy weapons at twice the rate previously attained. The CDA will integrate current and future sensors and countermeasures into the host vehicle's electronic architecture and will provide sensor fusion, threat prioritization and manual, semi-automatic or automatic activation of countermeasures. SSES leverages hit avoidance technology developed for aviation electronic warfare (EW) systems, incorporates changes developed to meet ground vehicle requirements, and returns technical improvements to the aviation EW community. It also incorporates ground vehicle specific hit avoidance technology being developed within the technology base. The CDA leverages work accomplished under the Hit Avoidance Advanced Technology Demonstration.

The Field Emissive Display (FED) program, also known as the High Performance Flat Panel Display (FPD) technology development program, is an effort to develop common, multi-purpose displays for Army ground combat vehicles. This includes the capability for real time interpretation and application of command and control, target imagery and situation awareness information. The FPD will also provide common, multi-purpose, and high performance (low power, color, and sunlight readable, high-resolution) system displays. The application of the FPD supports the Force XXI Battle Command – Brigade and Below (FBCB2) operational requirement for the display of common imagery and data in removable and remote operations. In doing so, this program focuses on the near to mid-term opportunity to improve the performance of system displays for both tracked and wheeled combat and combat support vehicles. The high performance FPD program takes advantage of advanced display technologies under development by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) by incorporating changes to meet the requirements of ground systems. System display performance specifications will optimize industry standard interfaces allowing incremental and inexpensive upgrades for future information display requirements. This program has been funded through congressional plus-ups, with \$7.0M provided in FY97 and \$12.0M in FY98 and \$7.0M in FY99.

As additional HTI projects are identified with funding, these projects will be added to and funded under project D718.

FY 1998 Accomplishments:

- 10540 Research and develop high resolution FED display (FED)
- 225 Evaluate FED Prototype Vehicle Interfaces are in process (FED)
- 472 Support and Management (FED)
- 3018 Vehicle Integration on BFVS A3. (SSES)

Project D718 Page 13 of 17 Pages Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

DATE **ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)** February 1999 BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE **PROJECT** 7 - Operational System Development 0203735A Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs **D718** FY 1998 Accomplishments: (continued) 437 CDA Development and Test on Bradley A3 SIL (SSES) 661 Testing (Including Operational) (SSES) 262 Systems Engineering and Simulation (SSES) 464 Logistics Development (SSES) 866 Support and Management (SSES) Total 16945 FY 1999 Planned Program: 50 Product Integration and Test Support (SSES) 523 Government Tech Support-LWR (SSES) 938 Government Test and Testing Support (SSES) 991 Program management administration (SSES and FED) 6213 Design and build high resolution FPD engineering unit (FED) 237 Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/STTR) Programs 8952 Total FY 2000 Planned Program: Project not funded in FY 2000 **FY 2001 Planned Program:** Project not funded in FY 2001 **Other Program Funding Summary:** None C. Acquisition Strategy: With regard to LWR effort, we used existing contracts for RDTE and enabled the return of technology improvement to aviation electronic warfare system. D. Schedule Profile FY 1998 FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 LWR Technical Tests 20 20 LWR Vehicle Integration Test 1-30 LWR CDA Integration (SIL) 30 LUT 1 10

Item 153

Exhibit R-2A (PE 0203735A)

10

IOTE

Project D718

ARMY RDT&E BU	February 1999								
udget activity 7 - Operational System Developmo			PE NUI	MBER AND TI	TLE	ent Programs	PROJEC ⁻		
D. Schedule Profile	FY 1998	98 FY 1999 FY		Y 2000 FY 2001 FY 200		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			
PEO IPT	111770	1Q	112000	112001	112002	112000	11200.	112000	
FED Technical Evaluation		3Q							
Common FED Spec/ICD Development	1-4Q	1-2Q							
High Resolution Development FED		1-4Q							
Critical Item Development Spec		2Q							

	AF	RMY RDT&E CO	OST AN	IALYS	IS (R-3))			DA	PATE February 1999		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational System	em Develo	opment			1UMBER ANI 03735A		vement	Progran	PR	PROJECT D718		
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a. LWR Developmentb. LWR Integration	STS/FFP CPIF	ROSI, Danbury CT UDLP, Santa Clara, CA	4170 4989	50	2QTR99	0	-	0	-	0	4170 5039	
c. LWR CDA d. FED e. FED	CPAF Cost/Share CPIF	SLM, Nashua, NH MICRON, Boise, ID GDLS, Sterling Hts,	470 16761 415	0 5200 625	2QTR99 3QTR99	0 0		0 0	-	0 0	470 21961 1040	
f. FED	CPIF	MI UDLP, Santa Clara, CA	140	625	3QTR99	0	-	0	-	0	765	
Subtotal Product Development:		Cri	26945	6500							33445	
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a. Tech Spt LWRb. Tech Spt LWRc. Support Mgt LWR	MIPR MIPR CPFF	CECOM, NJ TARDEC, MI Sig/Rsch, MI	1664 205 73	140 20 20	1Qtr99 1Qtr99 1Qtr99	0 0	-	0 0	- -	0 0	1804 225 93	Contrac
c. Support Mgt LWR d. Engr Spt LWR e. Training Aid Develop LWR	CPAF MIPR	Camber, MI STRICOM, FL	511 148	0 135	1Qtr99	0	-	0	- - -	0	511 283	
f. IBAS Display LWR g. Engr Test Spt LWR Subtotal Support Costs:	MIPR MIPR	PM CCAWS, AL SLAD (OMI), NM	30 454 3085	0 208 523	2Qtr99	0	-	0	-	0	30 662 3608	
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a. Field Test LWRb. Missile Warning LWR	MIPR MIPR	RTTC, AL Naval Rsch Wash DC	68 35	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	68 35	
Project D718			i	Page 16 o	f 17 Pages				Exhibit R-	-3 (PE 020	3735A)	

	AF	RMY RDT&E CO	OST AN	IALYSI	S (R-3))			DA [*]	February 1999			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational Syste	em Develo	ppment			UMBER ANI)3735A		t Vehicl	e Impro	vement	Progran	PF	PROJECT D718	
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	_	
c. LWR User Eval	MIPR	Eglin AFB, FL	10	450	2QTR99	0	-	0	_	0	460		
d. LWR Tech Test	MIPR	Yuma, AZ	0	208	2QTR99	0	-	0	-	0	208		
e. LWR User Eval	MIPR	Ft. Benning, GA	0	130	2QTR99	0	-	0	_	0	130		
f. LWR User Eval	MIPR	Other	0	150	2QTR99	0	-	0	_	0	150		
Subtotal Test and Evaluation:			113	938							1051		
IV. Management Services	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. In House Spt LWR	Type MIPR	PM GSI, MI	916	379	1Qtr99	0	Date	0	Date	0	1295	Contract	
a. In House Spt LWRb. In House Spt FED	MIPR	PM GSI, MI	633	375	1Qtr99	0	-	0		0	1008		
c. SBIR/STTR	N/A		0	237							237		
Subtotal Management Services:			1549	991							2540		
		1	1				,					T	
Project Total Cost:			31692	8952							40644		
Project D718				Page 17 of	17 Pages				Exhibit R	-3 (PE 020	3735A)		

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK